

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,758	08/01/2003	Akram Ali	706513US1	4650
24938	7590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION			PAPE, JOSEPH	
CIMS 483-0			ADTIBUT	DA DED AND ADED
800 CHRYSLER DR EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUBURN I	AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757			
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,758	ALI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Pape	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/1/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ,						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	otent Application (FTO-132)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 22 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22, "main body" on line 1 has no clear antecedent basis.

The term "sensor" in claims 31-33 has no clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 21, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Babatz et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,758

Art Unit: 3612

Babatz et al. disclose the claimed invention including load transfer element 8 having a first reactive surface 9 facing yet spaced from the outer panel 3 of the door, and a second reactive surface (unnumbered) facing and attached to the inner panel 2 of the door. The load transfer element is described in the specification column 3, lines 32-33 as "deformation resistant" and is therefore considered to "minimize energy absorption of an applied load" as broadly as recited.

Page 3

Re claim 29, due to the location of the load transfer element 8 of Babatz et al. being of a height on the door corresponding to an exterior door handle assembly, and in view of the low impact location at approximately the height of the door sill shown for the FMVSS 214 barrier 92 in Figure 5 of the instant invention, the load transfer element of Babatz et al. is considered to be located with the interstitial space of the door so as to avoid a load from the barrier.

5. Claims 21-23, 30, 34 and 35 as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frank.

Frank discloses a load transfer element 40 which is designed for impact protection rather than energy absorption and as such is considered to "minimize energy absorption of an applied load" as broadly as recited. The load transfer element 40 includes a first reactive surface (unnumbered) facing the outer door panel 11 and a second reactive surface (unnumbered) facing the inner door

Application/Control Number: 10/632,758

Art Unit: 3612

panel (unnumbered). The "main body" of load transfer element 40 includes an integral attachment arm 48 fixedly attached to the inner door panel.

Re claim 30, the Babatz et al. reference shows a typical vehicle door received in a door aperture of a vehicle having a door sill 50 comprising a "second structural member". While not shown, the door of Babatz et al. is also considered to inherently include an A-pillar, comprising a "first structural member", as another part of the door aperture to which the inner door panel is hingedly attached at a first, front end. The inner panel also selectively engages the second structural member 50 at a second, bottom end thereof. The load transfer element 40 functions to transfer an applied load from the engagement of the outer panel 11 with the first reactive surface thereof, which load is then transferred to the second reactive surface, the door inner panel and finally to the second structural member (door sill) 50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,758

Art Unit: 3612

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 5

8. Claims 31-33, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank in view of Kitagawa.

Frank discloses the clamed invention except for the vehicle including a sensor on the second structural member.

Kitagawa discloses in Figure 1 a sensor 16 on a door sill which can be configured to activate an air bag. See the abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of obvious skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the second structural member of Frank to include a sensor as taught by Kitagawa for deployment of a passenger protection device.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3612

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (703) 308-3426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri. (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph D. Pape Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp

January 8, 2005